Special disclaimer concerning crash data:

1) Confidential Information – This document is exempt from discovery or admission under 23 U.S.C. 409. Contact the LADOTD Traffic Safety Office at (225) 379-1929 before releasing any information.

2) This report is prepared solely for the purpose of identifying, evaluating and planning safety improvements on public roads; and is therefore exempt from discovery or admission under 23 U.S.C. 409.

The preparation of this report has been financed in part through grants from the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development in accordance with State Project No. H.972035.1 and Federal Project No. H972035.
Executive Summary
In the five years between 2008 and 2012, 1,489 pedestrians were involved in Orleans Parish traffic crashes. The City of New Orleans has ranked among the highest in Louisiana for the number of pedestrian injuries and crashes for many years, and its pedestrian fatality rate exceeds the national average.

To counter this trend, the City of New Orleans and the Regional Planning Commission assembled a Project Management Team, assisted by GCR Inc. and Dana Brown and Associates, to lead the development of the Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Part I of the Plan identifies high-frequency, severe injury, and fatal pedestrian crash locations, investigates their causes, and proposes engineering solutions to apply to prototype problem areas.

Consistent with federal guidance and practices, this Plan applies a data-driven, systemic approach to reducing and eliminating pedestrian crashes throughout the City of New Orleans.

Recent Trends in Local Pedestrian Activity
Based on local counting efforts, the 2013 Pedestrian and Bicycle Count Report documented significant growth in pedestrian numbers throughout New Orleans in recent years. Overall, the report notes that the number of pedestrians increased 66.8% between 2010 and 2013 at observation locations.

A disproportionately high number of the state’s pedestrian crashes occur in New Orleans. From 2008-2012:

- 22.7% of all Louisiana pedestrian crashes occurred in Orleans Parish, though the city is home to just 8% of the state’s population,
- The Orleans Parish average annual pedestrian crash rate (inclusive of all severities of crashes) was 78 pedestrian crash victims per 100,000 residents. This is three times the rate of Louisiana, which had 26 victims per 100,000 residents,
- The average annual pedestrian fatality rate in Orleans Parish was 2.6 deaths per 100,000 residents, compared to the state rate of 2.3 and the national rate of 1.4.

Moreover, traffic fatalities are much more likely to be pedestrians in Orleans Parish. DOTD crash data indicates that of all Orleans Parish traffic fatalities to take place from 2008-2012, 25.7% were pedestrians. This is nearly twice the rate of both the state – 13.3% - and the U.S. – 12.9%. One exception is 2010, during which only one pedestrian fatality occurred in the city. Figure 4 depicts these data for each year.

The number of Orleans Parish pedestrian crashes increased from 2008 to 2012, particularly those categorized by officers as moderate and possible injury crashes. Serious injuries, on the other hand, remained steady year after year, while fatal crashes varied significantly from one year to the next, from as low as one crash in 2010 to as many as 15 in 2009.
Crashes by Year and Severity

![Crashes by Year and Severity](image)

*Source: Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. Analysis by GCR Inc.*

Crash Analysis: Locations

The project management team conducted an analysis of 2008-2012 crash data provided by the DOTD and RPC. The analysis objectives were to identify:

1. Locations, including intersections and multi-block corridors, where crashes resulting in fatalities or severe injuries have frequently occurred from 2008-2012
2. Common factors in crashes that should be addressed by engineering countermeasures

The results of the analysis are used later in this report to recommend engineering safety countermeasures for unsafe intersections and corridors based on responsible factors.

Fatal and severe crashes are referred to in this report as KSIs, which is a commonly-used acronym for “killed and severely injured,” and categorically represents the most severe pedestrian crashes.

Two separate maps were created for the locational analysis – one showing intersections, the other street segments. In the former, a score was assigned to each intersection based on the combined weight of adjacent crashes. In the latter, a score was assigned to each individual street segment based on the combined weight of crashes within 120 feet. The intersection map results are used to identify the most frequent KSI “spots” while the street segment maps are used to identify corridors. These maps are located in the Appendix. The table below shows the top ranking intersections resulting from the analysis.
Table: Top Twenty Ranking Intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Primary Road</th>
<th>Intersection Road</th>
<th>Signal</th>
<th># Crashes</th>
<th># KSI</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S. Claiborne Ave (US 90)</td>
<td>Gravier St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Poydras St</td>
<td>Camp St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Iberville St</td>
<td>N. Peters St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Canal St</td>
<td>Carondelet St/Bourbon St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S. Peters St</td>
<td>Poydras St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Esplanade Ave</td>
<td>N. Claiborne Ave (LA 46)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Read Blvd</td>
<td>I-10 W Onramp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S. Carrollton Ave</td>
<td>Ulloa St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Blvd</td>
<td>S. Claiborne Ave (US 90)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Airline Dr (US 61)</td>
<td>Monroe St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Canal St</td>
<td>N./S. Peters St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>St Claude Ave (LA 46)</td>
<td>Franklin Ave</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Behrman Pl (LA 428)</td>
<td>Holiday Dr</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Press Dr</td>
<td>Chef Menteur Hwy (US 90)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Willow St</td>
<td>Cambronne St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Louisiana Ave</td>
<td>S. Saratoga St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>S. Claiborne Ave (US 90)</td>
<td>Leonidas St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>N. Peters St</td>
<td>Conti St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>St Claude Ave (LA 46)</td>
<td>Elysian Fields Ave (LA 46)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gravier St</td>
<td>S. Broad St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. Analysis by GCR Inc.

Pedestrian Crash Factors

Crash reports contain information regarding a number of factors, including victim and driver demographics, movements prior to the crash and condition. This Plan includes analyses of this data from all pedestrian crashes from 2008-2012 in Orleans Parish, and found the following key patterns:

- 40-59 year olds are 38% of KSI victims, but only 27% of Orleans population
- Victims are overwhelmingly male, especially in KSI crashes
- Drivers are overwhelmingly 20-29 years old and male
- Violations are the most frequent primary contributing factor, but the condition of the Driver is most likely to result in KSI
- Crossing at intersections is the highest pedestrian action cause, but crossing midblock is more likely to result in a KSI
- Turns are the most frequent driver movement cause – especially left turns
- Inattention is the most frequent pedestrian impairment, but a pedestrian drinking alcohol is most likely to result in a KSI
- Parked vehicles are the most frequent driver obstruction

1 Seven intersections tied for 10th ranking with a score of 72 (two KSI’s each).
Engineering Recommendations
The City of New Orleans should prioritize pedestrian safety improvements at the emphasis areas identified in Chapter IV, Crash Analysis - Locations. To inform the type of improvements, field surveys were conducted at selected locations, with the goal of examining high-KSI locations from the pedestrian vantage point and assessing how engineering solutions could improve pedestrian safety. Chapter VI provides guidance for selecting the appropriate countermeasures to address the key corridors and intersections highlighted in Chapter IV, with an emphasis on low-cost, easy-to-implement treatments, such as signal timing, signage, striping, and minor concrete work. This guidance supports a systemic approach to implementing safety improvements throughout the city.

By adopting a systemic approach to improving pedestrian safety, using the evidence-based engineering countermeasures described in this Pedestrian Safety Action Plan, the City of New Orleans will slow this trend, and help achieve the city's goal to reduce pedestrian fatalities by 50% by 2030, to an average of four per year, compared to the 2011 and 2012 rate of 8 per year. This approach will require close coordination with the DOTD, RPC and NOPD. Furthermore, the City should pursue non-engineering strategies, including education and traffic enforcement, to support the engineering safety improvements.
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I. Introduction: Overview and Goals

In the five years between 2008 and 2012, 1,489 pedestrians were involved in Orleans Parish traffic crashes. The City of New Orleans (which is coterminous with the Parish) has ranked among the highest in Louisiana for the number of pedestrian injuries and crashes for many years, and its pedestrian fatality rate exceeds the national average. In 2012 the State of Louisiana was named one of 16 Pedestrian Focus States by the Federal Highway Administration in order to bring attention and additional federal support to this issue. Pedestrian crashes not only impact public health and life expectancy, but also carry an economic cost. The National Safety Council estimates that average comprehensive cost of a fatality is $4.5 million, and the cost of an incapacitating injury is $225,100.2

To counter these trends, in recent years the Regional Planning Commission (RPC) and the City of New Orleans have implemented numerous engineering initiatives to create a safe, accessible and pedestrian-friendly transportation network. These include crafting an ADA Transition Plan and Complete Streets Policy, installing high-profile crosswalks, right-sizing wide roadways, adding pedestrian countdown signals at high-traffic intersections, securing federal grants to improve safety in schools zones, adding photo-enforcement devices in school zones, reducing the number of motor vehicle lanes on select streets, and repairing sidewalks throughout the city. The city’s Bronze level award on the Walk Friendly Community Report Card in 2011 is testament to this progress.

---

To supplement these efforts and increase pedestrian safety and walkability in New Orleans, the City of New Orleans and the Regional Planning Commission assembled a Project Management Team, assisted by GCR Inc. and Dana Brown and Associates, to lead the development of the Pedestrian Safety Action Plan: Part I Engineering Strategies. This Plan identifies high-frequency, severe injury, and fatal pedestrian crash locations, investigates their causes, and proposes engineering solutions to apply to prototype problem areas.

Consistent with federal guidance and practices, this Plan applies a data-driven, systemic approach to reducing and eliminating pedestrian crashes throughout the City of New Orleans. However, engineering countermeasures are just one tool for impacting the pedestrian crash, injury and fatality rates. In order to create significant, sustained impact over the long-term, it will be necessary to change the culture of walking and driving in New Orleans through other pedestrian safety strategies such as encouragement, education and enforcement programs. Future Pedestrian Safety Action Plan components will outline how these efforts will be implemented as funding becomes available.

This Pedestrian Safety Action Plan is a revision of the Draft Plan, which was completed in August 2013 for inclusion in the New Orleans Pedestrian Safety Project Proposal. The Louisiana Highway Safety Commission submitted this proposal to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Education and Enforcement in Pedestrian Focus Cities program.
II. Previous pedestrian safety studies and initiatives undertaken

The following studies and reports demonstrate the efforts made by the RPC and City of New Orleans to improve pedestrian safety.

New Orleans Metropolitan Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

*Time frame:* 2005

*Date released:* September 2006

*Participants:* Regional Planning Commission, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration

*Purpose:* To improve walking and bicycling conditions in the New Orleans region. The Plan identifies treatment options, such as improving sight distance between vehicles and pedestrians, and designing connected networks of mobility throughout the City and Region.

*Outcomes:* Identified the need for adequate facilities for walking and bicycling within the region due to a historic inclination to favor the automobile. It urges state and local policy-makers to incorporate existing federal policies to bring forth comprehensive laws, policies, design and construction of facilities. Some of these policies include the adoption of a state-wide Pedestrian Master Plan, prioritizing roadways that should be upgraded to accommodate bicyclist safety on state maintained roadways, and state and local groups working to change the rights of motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists that will help reduce the incidents and fatalities in all areas.

New Orleans Regional Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash Reports

*Time frame:* 2006-2008, 2009-2010

*Date released:* October 2011, July 2012

*Participants:* Pedestrian Bicycle Resource Initiative, Regional Planning Commission, The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, and the University of New Orleans Transportation Institute

*Purpose:* To guide policy-makers in developing safer and more efficient facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists by providing an overview of existing conditions for pedestrians and bicyclists in the New Orleans Metro Region and by identifying crash trends and statistically significant “crash hot spots.”

*Outcomes:* Identified crash clusters to help identify geographic areas where a higher than average number of incidents are taking place. These reports suggest that engineering improvements alone will not sufficiently increase pedestrian safety. The Crash Reports recommend a system-wide approach to implementing policy changes and including active modes of transportation into city and regional master plans.
New Orleans Pedestrian and Bicycle Count Reports

**Time frame:** 2010 - 2013

**Date released:** July 2011, July 2012, and July 2013

**Participants:** Pedestrian Bicycle Resource Initiative, Regional Planning Commission, and the University of New Orleans, Tulane University, Texas State University, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

**Purpose:** To uncover the growing demand and use of active transportation in New Orleans by analyzing Census data as well as pedestrian count and bicycle data collected at 17 locations around New Orleans. The reports highlight a need for improved infrastructure networks for walking and bicycling.

**Outcomes:** The study found that New Orleans ranks high for large American cities in both pedestrian and bicycle mode shares, making it one of the top cities for mode shares in the Southern Region of the United States. It tracks the steady increased volumes of pedestrians within the city that supports the need for pedestrian improvements. The Count Reports point out that in certain areas pedestrians are using newly installed bicycle facilities, and therefore when doing these projects it is important to include signals at intersections, and improve sidewalk conditions to maximize the benefits for all users.

Walk Friendly Communities Application and Report Card

**Time frame:** 2012

**Date released:** Spring 2012

**Participants:** Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center, Prevention Research Center at Tulane University, Walk Friendly Communities Program

**Purpose:** To evaluate a community’s commitment to improving walkability and pedestrian safety through comprehensive programs, plans, and policies as well as offer technical feedback on how to improve the walkability of the assessed community.

**Outcomes:** New Orleans received a Bronze level status, where the City was recognized for its public engagement, way finding signs, online interactive map, Safe Routes to School program, engineering treatments, road diets, and annual pedestrian and bicycle design workshops. In comparing New Orleans to cities with Gold level ratings, like Chicago, IL and Ann Arbor, MI, it is evident that New Orleans is lacking in innovative streetscape design, a large pedestrian signal system, and traffic calming initiatives.
City of New Orleans Department of Public Works ADA Transition Plan for the Public
Right-of-Way
Time frame: 2013

Date released: February 2013

Participants: City of New Orleans Department of Public Works, Prevention Research Center at Tulane University, Louisiana Public Health Institute

Purpose: To ensure that facilities for pedestrian circulation and use located in the public right-of-way are readily accessible to and usable by pedestrians with disabilities in accordance with the Americas with Disabilities Act and the U.S. Access Board Public Right of Way Accessibility Guidelines.

Outcomes: Reviews and updates New Orleans’ ADA Transition Plan relative to public rights-of-way to reflect requirements of ADA Accessibility Guidelines and Public Rights of Way Accessibility Guidelines

Department of Transportation and Development Complete Streets Work Group Report and Policy
Time frame: 2010

Date released: July 2010

Participants: Burk-Kleinpeter, Inc., the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development,

Purpose: To create a Complete Streets Policy that provides Louisiana with a tool to design for multi-modal mobility and safety for all user groups.

Context: State of Louisiana

Outcomes: Provides design standards that ensure a fully integrated transportation system that can be adopted as needed within the state. This report includes actions for implementation of Complete Streets in new as well as retrofitted projects, strategies for retraining planners and engineers, and legislative strategies for implementation on a state and local level.
Regional Planning Commission Complete Streets Policy

**Time frame:** 2010

**Date released:** November 2012

**Participants:** Regional Planning Commission

**Purpose:** To create a comprehensive, connected transportation network for the New Orleans and St. Tammany urbanized areas that balances access, mobility, health and safety needs of motorists, transit users, pedestrians, and bicyclists of all ages and abilities, which includes user of wheelchairs and mobility aids.

**Context:** New Orleans metropolitan area

**Outcomes:** Ensures that all new construction and reconstruction of roadways will consider the impact of safety for pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users, not just motor vehicles. These design standards will be implemented in all new construction projects as well as retrofit projects. It is important to note that all complete streets may not look the same. Each street will use design standards to cater to the site specific needs of each situation.

City of New Orleans Complete Streets Ordinance

**Time Frame:** 2011

**Date Released:** December 2011

**Participants:** New Orleans City Council, Department of Public Works

**Purpose:** To provide guiding principles and practices requiring that all transportation improvements are planned, designed, and constructed to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit use, while also promoting the full use of, and safe operations for all users of the City’s transportation network.

**Context:** City of New Orleans

**Outcomes:** Balances the access and mobility of all users, despite age and ability, as well as public transit and private vehicles by requiring any City improvements to streets and bridges to include Complete Street facilities such as sidewalks, bicycle lanes, crosswalks, traffic calming measures, and street and sidewalk lighting.
Louisiana Crash Data Reports

**Time frame:** 2009 - 2013

**Date released:** updated daily

**Participants:** Louisiana Safety Research Group at LSU, Louisiana Highway Safety Commission, and Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LADOTD)

**Purpose:** To gather crash data from state, sheriff, and local police agencies so that:

1. LADOTD can identify high crash intersections or road segments for safety improvement
2. Law enforcement officials can deploy enforcement personnel in trouble areas
3. U.S. Congress uses the crash data reports to appropriate funds for highway safety construction projects

**Context:** State of Louisiana

**Outcomes:** This detailed information done by the Louisiana Highway Safety Research Group leads to analysis of motor vehicle crashes and the variables that cause them.

New Orleans Region Transportation Strategic Highway Safety Plan Pedestrian and Bicycle Emphasis Area Action Plan

**Time frame:** 2014

**Date adopted:** January 2014

**Participants:** New Orleans Region Transportation Safety Coalition

**Purpose:** To define objectives and strategies and associated milestones, timelines and budgets for improving pedestrian and bicycle crashes in the Greater New Orleans region

**Context:** Greater New Orleans region

**Outcomes:** The Action Plan is incorporated into the statewide Strategic Highway Safety Plan.
III. Recent Trends in Local Pedestrian Activity

New Orleans developed to accommodate walking trips prior to the mid-twentieth century through compact street layout, sidewalks and relatively dense land use patterns. Following World War II, private automobile interests began to drive predominantly car-oriented, residential, low-density neighborhood design in Lakeview, Gentilly, the Lakeshore, New Orleans East, and Algiers.

Locations

According to the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2008-2012, 5.1% of New Orleans commuters walked to work. Figure 3 shows higher rates of pedestrian trips, occur in the older, mixed-use business and entertainment neighborhoods of the French Quarter and Central Business District (CBD), as well as at the Tulane University and Loyola University uptown campuses.

Figure 3: Pedestrian Commuters by Census Tract, 2007-2011. (Source: New Orleans 2013 Pedestrian and Bicycle Count Report, Figure 12)
Volumes

Based on local counting efforts, the 2013 Pedestrian and Bicycle Count Report documented significant growth in pedestrian numbers throughout New Orleans in recent years. Overall, the report notes that the number of pedestrians increased 66.8% between 2010 and 2013 at observation locations. Decatur Street in the French Quarter hosted the most pedestrian traffic of all observed sites.

Table 1: Observed Pedestrian Volumes, 2010-2013 (Source: New Orleans 2013 Pedestrian and Bicycle Count Report, Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count Site</th>
<th>Observed Volume (8-hour period)</th>
<th>Absolute Change</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harrison Ave</td>
<td>124 285</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>129.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentilly Blvd</td>
<td>126 121</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esplanade Ave</td>
<td>230 573</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>149.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal St</td>
<td>324 376</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Claude Ave</td>
<td>230 325</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazine St (Uptown)</td>
<td>330 338</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp St (Gateway)</td>
<td>144 199</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazine St (Gateway)</td>
<td>159 334</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>110.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur St</td>
<td>1313 3053</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>132.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Bolivar Ave</td>
<td>608 692</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carondelet St (Gateway)</td>
<td>81 140</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Charles Ave</td>
<td>550 603</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>4219 7039</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The high growth rate – particularly on Decatur Street in the French Quarter - may be attributed to the growth in tourists and French Quarter visitors. From 2009 to 2012, New Orleans visitors increased from 7.5 million to 9 million – a 20% increase. Typically tourists and other visitors are less familiar with the city than residents, making them potentially more vulnerable to crashes.

A high volume of pedestrian traffic can also be found in areas with higher poverty levels, where people are less likely to own cars and more likely to use public transit, bicycles, or walking as their primary mode of transportation. Census data in Figure 3 also show higher pedestrian volumes are found in higher poverty neighborhoods such as the Upper 9th Ward and Gert Town.

---

Number and Severity of Crashes

A disproportionately high number of the state’s pedestrian crashes occur in New Orleans. From 2008-2012:

- 22.7% of all Louisiana pedestrian crashes occurred in Orleans Parish, though the city is home to just 8% of the state’s population,
- The Orleans Parish average annual pedestrian crash rate (inclusive of all severity of crashes) was 78 pedestrian crash victims per 100,000 residents. This is three times the rate of Louisiana, which had 26 victims per 100,000 residents,
- The average annual pedestrian fatality rate in Orleans Parish was 2.6 deaths per 100,000 residents, compared to the state rate of 2.3 and the national rate of 1.4.

Moreover, traffic fatalities are much more likely to be pedestrians in Orleans Parish. DOTD crash data indicates that of all Orleans Parish traffic fatalities to take place from 2008-2012, 25.7% were pedestrians. This is nearly twice the rate of both the state – 13.3% - and the U.S. – 12.9%. One exception is 2010, during which only one pedestrian fatality occurred in the city. Figure 4 depicts these data for each year.

*Figure 4: Pedestrians Fatalities as a Percentage of all Traffic Fatalities, by Year*
Growth of Crashes

The State of Louisiana Uniform Motor Vehicle Traffic Crash Report prompts reporting police officers to note the severity level of traffic crash victims’ injuries. Choices are: A- Fatal; B- Incapacitating/Severe; C-Non-Incapacitating/Moderate; D-Possible/Complaint; and E- No Injury. However, the selection of injury code is at the discretion of individual officers at crash scenes, and precise definitions are unavailable on the Crash Report or its guidelines.

The number of Orleans Parish pedestrian crashes increased from 2008 to 2012, particularly those categorized by officers as moderate and possible injury crashes. Serious injuries, on the other hand, remained steady year after year, while fatal crashes varied significantly from one year to the next, from as low as one crash in 2010 to as many as 15 in 2009.

*Figure 5: Crashes by Year and Severity*
## Table 2: Pedestrian Crash Frequency and Severity, 2008-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>5-Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Population</td>
<td>311,853</td>
<td>354,850</td>
<td>343,829</td>
<td>360,740</td>
<td>369,250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Pedestrian Crashes</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Pedestrian Fatalities</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Total Traffic Fatalities</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans % of Fatal Crashes Pedestrian</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Pedestrian Crashes per 100,000</td>
<td>92.03</td>
<td>68.76</td>
<td>84.34</td>
<td>67.36</td>
<td>78.54</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Pedestrian Fatalities per 100,000</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Pedestrian Crashes as % of State</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Population</td>
<td>4,410,796</td>
<td>4,492,076</td>
<td>4,533,372</td>
<td>4,574,836</td>
<td>4,601,893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Pedestrian Crashes</td>
<td>1,151</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,343</td>
<td>5,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Pedestrian Fatalities</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Total Traffic Fatalities</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>3,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana % of Fatal Crashes Pedestrian</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Pedestrian Crashes per 100,000</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>25.84</td>
<td>29.18</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Pedestrian Fatalities per 100,000</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Population (millions)</td>
<td>304.0</td>
<td>306.4</td>
<td>308.7</td>
<td>311.6</td>
<td>313.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Pedestrian Fatalities&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4,414</td>
<td>4,109</td>
<td>4,302</td>
<td>4,457</td>
<td>4,743</td>
<td>22,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Total Traffic Fatalities</td>
<td>37,423</td>
<td>33,883</td>
<td>32,999</td>
<td>32,479</td>
<td>33,561</td>
<td>170,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. % of Fatal Crashes Pedestrian</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Pedestrian Fatalities per 100,000</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Sources: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
IV. Crash Analysis: Locations

The project management team conducted an analysis of 2008-2012 crash data provided by the DOTD and RPC. The analysis objectives were to identify:

3. Locations, including intersections and multi-block corridors, where crashes resulting in fatalities or severe injuries have frequently occurred from 2008-2012
4. Common factors in crashes that should be addressed by engineering countermeasures

The results of the analysis are used later in this report to recommend engineering safety countermeasures for unsafe intersections and corridors based on responsible factors.

Fatal and severe crashes are referred to in this report as KSIs, which is a commonly-used acronym for “killed and severely injured,” and categorically represents the most severe pedestrian crashes.

Methodology

In the fall of 2013, the Regional Planning Commission (RPC) provided the project management team with 2008-2012 Orleans Parish pedestrian crash data. This data is originally documented by the New Orleans Police Department (NOPD) and submitted to and then maintained by the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD). The data was provided in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets, and included separate tables each for pedestrian attributes and driver attributes. It was organized consistent with the format of the State of Louisiana Uniform Vehicle Traffic Crash Report. Accuracy and completeness of data was dependent upon the initial completion of the individual crash reports. As such, accuracy and completeness vary by crash record. The RPC also provided full written crash reports from the years 2010, 2011 and 2012. The project team reviewed a sample of these reports and found that all crash report data had been entered into the DOTD database.

The project management team performed quality assurance to identify and address inaccuracies and gaps in the crash data. The full crash reports available for every fatality and severe injury – 64 in total – were reviewed to collect any additional information not provided by the DOTD database. Table 3 lists these deficiencies and actions taken to correct them.

Table 3: Crash Data Deficiencies and Corrective Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Deficiency</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Null values for many attributes in the crash report database (11% of pedestrian data)(^5)</td>
<td>Verified information in individual, written crash reports submitted by NOPD to DOTD (2010-2012 only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) 11% of the pedestrian dataset consisted of NOUB (Null, Other, Unknown, Blank) values; this does not include the driver dataset or any outlier values (e.g. ages recorded as 200)
In January 2014, RPC provided the project team with pedestrian fatality records created and maintained independently by the NOPD traffic fatality investigator. The data included record numbers, item numbers, dates, locations, NOPD signals, and whether alcohol/drugs were involved or whether the crash was a “late case,” in which the death occurred after the date of the incident. All but four of these records were present within the DOTD data (Table 4). The project team added the four records to the DOTD dataset for the analysis.

**Table 4: NOPD Pedestrian Fatality Data Missing From DOTD Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Signal</th>
<th># Deaths</th>
<th>Alcohol/Drugs</th>
<th>Late case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>J-09723-11</td>
<td>10/17/11</td>
<td>Broadway and Chestnut St</td>
<td>20-F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>B-00365-12</td>
<td>02/19/12</td>
<td>I-10 Service Rd and Crowder Blvd</td>
<td>20-F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>L-07286-12</td>
<td>12/06/12</td>
<td>Sandra and Gen. DeGaulle</td>
<td>100-F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>K-34904-12</td>
<td>12/12/12</td>
<td>1300 Blk. Perdido Street</td>
<td>20-F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GCR Inc.; New Orleans Police Department

Figure 6 illustrates the location of all crashes, and highlights those resulting in pedestrian fatalities and severe injuries. Full size maps are available in the Appendix.

---

6 Two crashes were not located at all and were excluded from the Location Analysis: A severe crash was listed at S. Claiborne and Palm Streets, which are parallel to one another. A Possible Injury crash was listed at S. Roman Street but did not have a cross street noted.
Figure 6: KSI Crash Locations
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The project team conducted several crash frequency and severity analyses, using a variety of weightings by crash severity to identify target intersections and corridors for recommended safety improvements. Following evaluation of different weighting alternatives, the project team proceeded to identify the most frequent severe injury and fatality crash locations using a hierarchy that treats KSI crashes equally and with much exponentially higher weight than non-severe, possible or no injury crashes.

*Table 5: Crash Weights, by Severity*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code/Severity</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/Fatality</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Severe injury</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Non-severe injury</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/Possible injury</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/No injury</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: State of Louisiana Uniform Vehicle Traffic Crash Report*

**Results**

Two separate maps were created for the locational analysis – one shows intersections, the other street segments. In the former, a score was assigned to each intersection based on the combined weight of adjacent crashes. In the latter, a score was assigned to each individual street segments based on the combined weight of crashes within 120 feet. The intersection map results are used to identify the most frequent KSI “spots” while the street segment maps are used to identify corridors. These maps are located in the Appendix. Table 6 shows the top ranking intersections resulting from the analysis. For the purposes of application to the Local Road Safety Program and Highway Safety Improvement program, this table represents “adopted emphasis areas.” (See Chapter VII for more information).
Among these intersections, the street segments analysis demonstrates the high number of crashes along the South and North Peters Street corridor, between Poydras Street and Conti Street. The intersection of Canal Street and Carondelet Street/Bourbon Street stands out for its 10 crashes, including two severe injuries. Three additional higher-speed corridors (35mph posted speed limits) considered are St. Claude Avenue (at Clouet), S. Claiborne Avenue (at Gravier), Louisiana Avenue (at S. Saratoga) and Holiday Drive (at Behrman Place). A transit stop is located at each of these locations, except at the intersection of S. Claiborne Ave. and Gravier St. The presence of a traffic stop is noted for each intersection.

Crashes at several of these locations are described in further detail below.

---

7 Seven intersections tied for 10th ranking with a score of 72 (two KSI's each).
Location Profiles

To examine more thoroughly the places where KSI crashes occur, the project team selected several locations from Table 6 that are representative of other intersection types in New Orleans. This process is consistent with the systematic approach to safety improvements endorsed by the Federal Highway Administration.\(^8\)

However, the limitations of data analysis come to the forefront when examining specific locations. The following locations scored high, but because of the limited number of crashes that occurred at each location, the sample size is insufficient to infer specific trends and patterns. The incompleteness of the data and each officer’s discretion in filling out the report are additional challenges to deciphering crash patterns.

Regardless of these challenges, common factors at crash locations were documented to facilitate a systemic approach to implementing countermeasures.

**S. Claiborne Ave and Gravier St**

*Figure 7: S. Claiborne Ave and Gravier St*

---

\(^8\) Additional information about the FHWA System Safety Project Selection Tool is available at [http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/systemic/fhwas13019/](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/systemic/fhwas13019/)
This intersection had a total of four crashes. Two fatal crashes occurred, each in 2011. A severe crash in 2009 occurred on the upriver bound portion of S. Claiborne Avenue between Gravier St. and S. Derbigny St. All three of these KSI crashes occurred on a Saturday. The fourth crash, a possible injury crash, also occurred in 2009.

The first fatal crash, in January 2011, occurred around noon in cloudy conditions on a wet surface. A 42 year-old black male proceeded straight on a green signal in a van at 35 mph. A 45 year-old black male, whose condition was “drinking alcohol – impaired,” attempted to cross the road at the intersection and was killed. The primary contributing factor was “condition of pedestrian” and the secondary contributing factor was “pedestrian actions.”

The second fatal crash, in October 2011, occurred at night in dark conditions (with street lighting) on a dry surface. There were two cars involved in the crash, but the officer recorded no data about the drivers, their vehicles, or their movements prior to the crash. A 43 year-old black male attempted to cross the road at the intersection and was killed. The primary contributing factor was “violations” and the secondary contributing factor was “movement prior to crash.”

The severe injury crash, in November 2009, occurred in the afternoon, in daylight. The crash occurred on the upriver bound side of S. Claiborne Avenue between Gravier and S. Derbigny Streets. The pedestrian, a 50 year-old black female, was walking in the roadway and was severely injured. The data do not identify a primary or secondary contributing factor to the crash. The driver was cited for careless operation.

The possible injury crash also occurred in 2009, and a 22 year-old white female was injured while crossing the intersection at 9am. The contributing factor was violations. The crash occurred when a 60 year-old black male, driving a light truck, made a left turn heading westbound.
This intersection had two crashes. The first crash occurred in 2008 and fatally injured one pedestrian and severely injured another. The second crash, in 2012, involved only one pedestrian, but the injury code was listed as “null.”

The first crash, in March 2008, involved either four or two vehicles – it is not clear from the data. At least one SUV, driven by a 21 year-old white female, crashed with a van driven by an unknown driver and an “other non-fixed object.” The drivers’ movements were described as normal, but no other information was given. The crash occurred in the afternoon in dry, clear conditions. A 31 year-old black male was killed and a 34 year-old black female was severely injured. Their actions prior to the crash were described as “other” and their condition was “normal.” The primary contributing factor was “condition of driver” and secondary contributing factor “movement prior to crash,” but it isn’t clear what about the driver’s condition led to the crash.

The second crash, in April 2012, occurred at night in dark conditions, with street lighting, on a dry surface. The driver, a 23 year-old black female, proceeded straight ahead on a green signal at an estimated 45 mph (in a 35 mph zone). Her SUV had headlights on. There is no detail about the pedestrian, including age, sex, race, or even the injury. In the absence of data, this crash was categorized as a no injury crash. The pedestrian’s condition was “inattentive” and the action was “crossing the road, not at the intersection.” The crash’s primary contributing factor was “pedestrian actions” and the secondary contributing factor was “movement prior to crash.” Correspondingly, the driver’s movement reason was recorded as “to avoid pedestrian.”
This intersection had one crash in 2009 that fatally injured one pedestrian and severely injured another. Like the MLK and Claiborne crash, it’s unclear whether the crash involved one or two vehicles, as no information is provided about the driver(s) or vehicle(s).

The crash occurred at night on a Saturday, in the dark with street lighting, in dry conditions. The pedestrians crossed the road at the intersection, but their condition was unknown. The primary contributing factor was “violations” and no secondary contributing factor was listed. A 38 year-old male of “other” race (likely Hispanic or Asian) was killed, and a 50 year-old male of “other” race was severely injured.
There were three KSI crashes at this clustered intersection on the Westbank, all in 2011.

One crash, in December, severely injured two pedestrians, a 67 year-old black male and a 50 year-old black female. Their actions at the time were unknown. The crash occurred in the dark (in streetlight), in dry conditions. As with other crashes, there may be more than one car involved, but the data are not clear. A 56 year-old black female proceeded straight ahead in a passenger car and crashed with the pedestrians; the driver’s movement reason was listed as “to avoid pedestrian.” The pedestrians’ conditions were unknown. The primary contributing factor of this crash was “movement prior to crash” and the secondary factor was “violations.”

The other two crashes were both fatal. One crash, at night in an unlit area, occurred when a 35 year-old black man who was impaired by alcohol drove a light truck and fatally struck a 59 year-old black man. The road surface was recorded as “bumpy” and the alignment was a “level curve.” The primary contributing factor of this crash was “condition of driver” and the secondary contributing factor was “lighting.” The other crash occurred in unspecified lighting conditions, and a 71 year-old white man was fatally struck in the median by a 50 year-old white man who ran off the road while under the influence of drugs. The primary contributing factor of this crash was “violations” and the secondary factor was “movement prior to crash.” The pedestrian’s actions and condition were unknown.
These three intersections had 18 crashes between 2008 and 2012.

Three crashes involved two pedestrians. (A total of 21 pedestrians were injured in this corridor.) Six pedestrians were severely injured but none were killed. The pedestrians’ conditions were mostly “normal” with one inattentive, two impaired by alcohol, and one “drug use – not impaired.”

Overall, this crash site has a more even distribution between male and female than the full data set of crashes. However, the drivers with sex identified were almost all male, with only one female.

Of the drivers involved, 11 were making left turns or preparing to make a left turn. Others were making right turns, entering traffic from the shoulder, or proceeding straight ahead. Most of the left turn drivers were at a stop sign, not a traffic signal. Many of these drivers were also cited with failure to yield or careless operation. All but one crash took place in dry conditions. The lighting was either daylight or streetlight, not dark and unlit. The primary contributing factors were all either movement prior to crash (6), violations (8), or pedestrian actions (5).
This intersection also had 18 crashes between 2008 and 2012, three of which involved two pedestrians. Only two pedestrians were injured severely, and none were killed. The pedestrians’ conditions were mostly “normal” with three impaired by alcohol and several unknown.

The severely injured pedestrians were a 59 year-old white man and a 50 year-old black woman.

Overall, this crash site has a similar distribution between male and female to the full set of crash data. Drivers were mostly male, but four were female.

Most drivers were proceeding straight ahead (18 of 21), and the only recorded violation was “following too closely.” Traffic signals were either green or unknown. Vision was mostly unobstructed except one instance of “moving vehicles.” The primary contributing factor for most crashes was “movement prior to crash” (10), with the rest a mixture of “pedestrian actions” (4), “violations” (3), and one each of “condition of pedestrian,” “traffic control,” and “vision obscurments.”
St. Claude Ave (LA 46) and Clouet St

There were four crashes at this intersection, three in 2009 and one in 2010.

The fatal crash occurred in March 2009, on a Saturday night in dark, dry conditions. A driver of unknown race, age, or sex proceeded straight in a passenger car. The pedestrian, a 33 year-old black male, was crossing the street not at the intersection. The pedestrian’s condition was listed as “other” and was cited as the secondary contributing factor. The primary factor was “movement prior to crash.”

The moderate injury crash occurred in July 2009 on a Monday night in dark, dry conditions with no street lighting. A van with an unknown driver proceeded straight. The pedestrian, a 47 year-old black male, was crossing the road at the intersection. Movement prior to crash was the primary contributing factor, and no secondary factor was cited.

Two possible injury crashes also occurred, one in May 2010 and one in June 2009. No driver information was provided for either crash. Each crash occurred in the afternoon in daylight in dry conditions. The primary contributing factor for both crashes was “violations.” The pedestrian in the 2009 crash, a 55 year-old black male, had a “normal” condition and was not under the influence of alcohol or drugs, but did not have an action listed. That crash also had a secondary contributing factor of “kind of location,” but it’s not clear what that means. The pedestrian in the 2010 crash was an 18 year-old black male who was crossing at the intersection, and the secondary contributing factor was “movement prior to crash.”
V. Pedestrian Crash Factors

Crash reports contain information regarding a number of factors, including victim and driver demographics, movements prior to the crash and condition. This section presents analyses of this data from all pedestrian crashes from 2008-2012 in Orleans Parish.  

Victim Profile

Age
When the ages of each pedestrian were examined, an outsized number (over 200) were recorded as 0. While some infants may have been involved in pedestrian crashes, all 0 ages were filtered out for consistency, leaving 1,229 pedestrians, 148 of whom were injured in KSI crashes.

Figure 14: Age Comparison – Proportion of Victims, by Age

Methodology Note
The crash data analyzed are incomplete in several ways. Many records contain Null, Other, Unknown, or Blank values (referred to as “NOUB” values). For most of the analyses that follow, NOUB values were filtered from the complete data set, resulting in varying total crash numbers. N values are noted on charts for clarity.

---

9 This section compares the age, sex and race of pedestrian crash victims to the Orleans Parish demographics. However, the place of residence for drivers and pedestrians is not noted on the crash reports. Commuters and tourists could certainly be included in these figures.
This distribution of all pedestrian crash victim ages is fairly similar to the overall age distribution of population in Orleans Parish, with a few exceptions:

- Children and teenagers age 10-19 are slightly overrepresented (15% compared to 12%)
- People age 30 to 59 are overrepresented (47% compared to 40%).
- Individuals 60 and older are underrepresented in crash victims (10% compared to 17%)

The age distribution of pedestrian KSI victims diverges from Orleans Parish’s age distribution in several significant ways:

- Children and seniors make up a smaller portion of KSI crash victims.
- People age 30 to 59 are overrepresented (57% compared to 40%).

**Sex**

Overall, pedestrian crash victims were more likely to be male than female -- 57% of victims for all crashes were male and 43% were female. *KSI victims are even more likely to be male* – 66.7% of KSI crash victims were male, and 33.3% were female.¹⁰

*Figure 15: Sex of Pedestrian Victims, KSI vs. Non-KSI*

---

¹⁰ This analysis excludes 214 crash victims, whose sex is not reported.
Race
The Louisiana Uniform Crash Report asks the officer to select one of four categories for drivers and pedestrians: B (Black), W (White), I (Indian) or O (Other). In 2012, Orleans Parish was approximately 33% white and 60% black. Overall, the crash data demonstrates little evidence of a significant racial disparity in crash victims.

Figure 16: Race of Crash Victims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSI</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans Population</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


12 This analysis excludes 235 crash victims, whose race is not reported.
Driver Profile
Because of multi-vehicle crashes, the number of driver listings in the Uniform Crash Reports was 1,645, compared to 1,489 pedestrians. The driver data were analyzed separately from pedestrian data because of this disparity, and therefore driver data are not examined based on the severity of pedestrian injury.

Age
The age distribution of drivers differs from Orleans Parish as a whole, most discernibly because children under 16 cannot legally drive, and older adults drive less frequently as they age. As can be expected, drivers age 20 to 59 are therefore more highly represented than the Parish population. However, 28.8% of the drivers involved in pedestrian crashes were in their 20s, compared to just 18.5% of the city’s population. This 10.3% differential is the highest among all age groups, as Figure 17 demonstrates.

Figure 17: Percent of Crashes, by Age of Driver, Compared to City Population

Sex
Like pedestrian victims, drivers are overwhelmingly male. 65% of drivers where sex was recorded (n=1,205) in this dataset were male, while 35% were female.

Race
The race of the driver is recorded in the same way as that of the pedestrian on the Louisiana Uniform Crash Report. 59% of drivers were black, 37% were white, and 5% were “other.” White drivers are overrepresented here, since whites comprise only 33% of Orleans Parish residents.
Pedestrian and Motorist Actions and Behavior

The Uniform Motor Vehicle Traffic Crash Report asks reporting officers to choose from a number of contributing factors related to pedestrian conditions and behavior, driver conditions and behavior, and environmental conditions. These include:

- Violations (driver)
- Movement prior to crash (driver)
- Vision obscurations
- Condition of driver
- Vehicle conditions
- Road surface
- Roadway condition
- Lighting
- Weather
- Traffic control
- Kind of location
- Condition of pedestrian
- Pedestrian action

In 1,183 (79%) of the crash reports, the reporting officer records one of the above as a “primary contributing factor.” The most prominent primary contributing factor to all pedestrian crashes was **Violations** (driver) followed by **Movement prior to crash** (driver) and **Pedestrian actions**. These three factors comprised 92% of all reported crashes, and are also the top three contributing factors in KSI crashes. Figure 18 shows the number of crashes, by severity, for each of the primary contributing factors. “Other” includes Vehicle Conditions, Road Surface, Roadway Condition, Lighting, Weather, Traffic Control, and Kind of Location (land use).

*Figure 18: Primary Contributing Factor, by Severity*
The following sections expand on each primary contributing factor.

**Driver Violations**
Officers cited driver violations as the primary contributing factor in nearly half (48.4%) of all crash reports that cite one. Of these, careless operation and failure to yield were the most frequent violations, as Figure 19 shows.

*Figure 19: Driver Violations*

![Bar chart showing driver violations]

**Movement Prior to Crash**
Prior to crashes, most drivers (52%) were “proceeding straight ahead” (not shown in Figure 20 for clarity) prior to the crash. The most common reasons after proceeding straight ahead were making left turns, making right turns, parking, and stopping.
Pedestrian Actions
981 crash records indicated a pedestrian action prior to the crash. Of these, most occurred while the pedestrian was crossing a road, either at the intersection (46%) or midblock (28%). These actions were also the most common among KSI crashes. However, midblock crossing crashes were more likely to be KSI than intersection crossings (18% of all crashes, compared to 12%).

Figure 20: Driver Movement Prior to Crash

![Figure 20: Driver Movement Prior to Crash]

Figure 21: Pedestrian Actions Prior to Crash

![Figure 21: Pedestrian Actions Prior to Crash]
**Driver Condition**

Drivers were mostly cited as having normal behavior. 56% of drivers were “normal” and another 34% were recorded as a NOUB value; these categories were excluded from Figure 12 for clarity. The most frequent condition cited as a possible factor was inattentive driving, but these comprised only 93 (5.6%) incidents. Driver alcohol impairment was cited in only 32 (1.9%) crashes.

*Figure 22: Driver Condition*

A driver’s “vision obscurement” was cited in 6% of crashes (57% had no obscurements and the rest were NOUB [Null, Other, Unknown, Blank] values) – these values were not shown in Figure 23, for clarity). Those 6% of crashes broke down as follows:
Pedestrian Condition

218 crash records indicated a pedestrian condition other than “normal.” Of these, inattention was the most common factor, followed by alcohol impairment. Of all conditions, pedestrians impaired by alcohol were the mostly likely to suffer a KSI.

Lighting

Nearly all pedestrian crash records (97%) indicated lighting conditions at the time of the crash. Although most (64%) of all crashes occurred during daylight, daytime crashes were least likely to result in KSI crashes (8%). Figure 25 shows that KSI crashes were most likely to take place under dark conditions with no street lights, especially with few or no street lights.
The following key findings highlight the analysis of pedestrian crash factors:

- 40-59 year olds are 38% of KSI victims, but only 27% of Orleans population
- Victims are overwhelmingly male, especially in KSI crashes
- Drivers are overwhelmingly 20-29 years old and male
- Violations are the most frequent primary contributing factor
  - But Condition of Driver is most likely to result in KSI
- Crossing at intersections is the highest pedestrian action cause
  - But Crossing midblock is more likely to result in a KSI
- Turns are the most frequent driver movement cause – especially left turns
- Inattention is the most frequent pedestrian impairment
  - But a pedestrian drinking alcohol is most likely to result in a KSI
- Parked vehicles are the most frequent driver obstruction
VI. Recommendations of Engineering Countermeasures and Strategies for Pedestrian Safety

This section reviews nationally accepted pedestrian safety countermeasures and strategies endorsed by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Federal Highway Administration's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), and the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO). Some treatments are inexpensive retrofits that can be quickly implemented, while others require greater study, coordination and funding. Peer-reviewed research has demonstrated the effectiveness of these countermeasures, and, where applicable, this Plan cites the Crash Reduction Factors (CRF) determined for each one.\(^\text{13}\)

This section also provides guidance for selecting the appropriate countermeasures to address the key corridors and intersections highlighted in Chapter IV, with an emphasis on low-cost, easy-to-implement treatments, such as signal timing, signage, striping, and minor concrete work. This guidance supports a systemic approach to implementing safety improvements throughout the city. Where instructive, site plans and perspectives accompany the recommendations. It is important to note that while efforts were made to identify the most appropriate engineering treatments, the City of New Orleans may identify additional engineering solutions to supplement or replace these recommendations, should conditions – such as traffic volumes, land uses, transit stop placements, and newly available or approved technologies - change in the future.

In addition to specific engineering treatments, this section recommends additional analyses, studies and policies that will help reduce pedestrian crashes, injuries and fatalities in New Orleans.

Selected List of Countermeasures

The Pedestrian Safety Guide and Countermeasure Selection System (PEDSAFE), a program of the Federal Highway Administration, provides the following list of proven safety countermeasures. Table 7 serves as a starting point to determine proposed interventions at unsafe intersections and corridors. Full descriptions of each treatment are available at: [www.pedbikesafe.org/PEDSAFE/countermeasures.cfm](http://www.pedbikesafe.org/PEDSAFE/countermeasures.cfm).

\(^{13}\) A CRF is a measurement of the expected impact of the treatment on the number of future crashes. The value indicates the percentage change in crashes following implementation of the treatment. For instance, a CRF of 22 indicates that crashes would be expected to decrease 22%. A higher CRF therefore indicates a more effective treatment.
### Table 7: Pedestrian Safety Engineering Countermeasures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure</th>
<th>Crash Reduction Factor (where applicable)</th>
<th>Cost (per unit, unless otherwise indicated)¹⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Along the Roadway</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks, Walkways and Paved Shoulders</td>
<td>88¹⁵</td>
<td>$7 to $8 per SF, excluding curbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Furniture/Walking Environment</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At Crossing Locations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Ramps</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$1,500 to $2,000 per ramp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked Crosswalks and Enhancements</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$400 to $2,000 per crossing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Extensions</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$12,000 to $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing Islands</td>
<td>36¹⁶*</td>
<td>$3,500 to $40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised Pedestrian Crossings</td>
<td>30¹⁷</td>
<td>$2,000 to $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting and Illumination</td>
<td>78 (fatality) 42 (injury)¹⁸</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Restrictions (at crossing locations)</td>
<td>30¹⁹</td>
<td>$200 per sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated Pedestrian Detection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Pedestrian Interval</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Yield/Stop Lines</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$1,000 to $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Stop Improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Bulb Outs</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000 to $70,000 per bulb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roadway Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Lanes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$1,000 to $11,000 per mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Narrowing</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000 to $30,000 per mile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹⁴ All costs are estimates provided by PEDSAFE (FHWA)
¹⁷ Ibid.
¹⁸ Ibid.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engineering Strategies</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Reduction/Road Diet</td>
<td>$5,000 to $30,000 per mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway Improvements</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised Medians</td>
<td>$2,100 to $40,000 per median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way/Two-way Street Conversions</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Right-Turn Slip-Lane Design</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intersection Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundabouts</td>
<td>$25,000 to $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified T-Intersections</td>
<td>$20,000 to $60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Median Barriers</td>
<td>$15,000 to $20,000 per 100 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Radius Reduction</td>
<td>$15,000 to $40,000 per corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modify Skewed Intersections</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Accommodations at Complex Interchanges</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Calming</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Installations for Traffic Calming</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chokers</td>
<td>$2,000 to $25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicanes</td>
<td>$2,500 to $16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Circles</td>
<td>$5,000 to $15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Humps</td>
<td>$1,500 to $5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Tables</td>
<td>$2,000 to $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateways</td>
<td>$10,000 to $65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Paving Treatments</td>
<td>$50 to $190 per square yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpentine Design</td>
<td>$60,000 to $90,000 per block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverterians</td>
<td>$5,000 to $85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Street Closure</td>
<td>$500 to $120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Street Closure</td>
<td>$10,000 to $41,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Turn Prohibitions</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signals and Signs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signals</td>
<td>$35,000 to $150,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

20 Ibid.
23 Hovey, P. W. and Chowdhury, M., "Development of Crash Reduction Factors." 14801(0), Ohio Department of Transport, (2005)
25 Ibid.
26 Ibid.
### Pedestrian Signals
- Cost: $1,000 to $2,000

### Pedestrian Signal Timing
- Cost: $8,000 to $150,000

### Traffic Signal Enhancements
- Cost: Varies

### Right-Turn-on-Red Restrictions
- Cost: $200 per sign

### Advanced Stop Lines at Traffic Signals
- Cost: $400

### Left Turn Phasing
- Cost: $8,000 to $150,000

### Push Buttons and Signal Timing
- Cost: $800 to $1200

### Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB)
- Cost: $20,000 to $130,000

### Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB)
- Cost: $4,500 to $50,000

### Puffin Crossing
- Cost: $80,000 to $150,000

### Signing
- Cost: $200 to $500

### Other Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Zone Improvement</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Identity</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed-Monitoring Trailers</td>
<td>$7,000 to $18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Street Parking Enhancements</td>
<td>$2,000 to $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Streets/Malls</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Zones – Pedestrian Detours</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Safety at Railroad Crossings</td>
<td>$50,000 to $300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Improvements at Emphasis Areas

The City of New Orleans should prioritize pedestrian safety improvements at the emphasis areas identified in Chapter IV, Crash Analysis - Locations. To inform the type of improvements, field surveys were conducted at selected locations, with the goal of examining high-KSI locations from the pedestrian vantage point and assessing how engineering solutions could improve pedestrian safety. As not every contributing factor can be known or quantified, walking the actual intersections...

---

29 Ibid.
32 Ibid.
allows for real-time assessment of conditions, behavior, vehicle and pedestrian volume and patterns, and other factors that potentially contribute to crashes.

This section presents findings from the field surveys, identifies safety challenges, and proposes various countermeasures that the City of New Orleans has successfully implemented in previous projects.

South Peters Street and Poydras Street

Existing Concerns

A1 – A2: Crosswalks
Narrow (4’) cut-throughs in the Poydras Street neutral ground are insufficient for the volume of pedestrians that cross at these intersections, and do not meet the 5’ minimum width ADA guideline.

B: Left Turn (S. Peters to Poydras)
Pedestrians crossing the north (lakebound) lanes of Poydras are vulnerable to drivers turning left from S. Peters onto Poydras. The field audit team observed drivers making this left turn failing to yield to pedestrians crossing in the crosswalk.

C: Left Turn (Poydras to S. Peters)
The left turn from Poydras onto S. Peters is hazardous because drivers must focus on crossing three lanes of partially obscured, oncoming traffic. The field audit team observed several drivers making this turn and seemingly unaware of pedestrians crossing S. Peters towards Harrah’s Casino.

D: Signals
The crossing of the riverbound side of Poydras along the eastern/river side of S. Peters, from north to south, lacks a traffic signal. There is no traffic signal that faces in this direction, as there are at other points in the intersection, so pedestrians must rely on visuals – e.g. oncoming cars – and may not be protected while crossing. Additionally, the intersection lacks dedicated pedestrian countdown signals.

E: Crosswalks
Crosswalk markings at this intersection are faded and in need of replacement.
Figure 26: South Peters St. and Poydras St., Existing (3D)

Proposed Countermeasures

Marked Crosswalks and Signal Enhancements
High visibility crosswalks should be applied throughout the intersection, and countdown pedestrian signals should serve each crossing. Crosswalks should be staggered to increase the lifespan and reduce maintenance costs.

Curb Extensions
The neutral ground “bullet noses” should be extended to permit widening of neutral ground cut-throughs. This would also narrow turning radii for the described left turn, thus reducing the speed of turning traffic. Curb extensions may also be constructed to shorten crossing distances.

Pedestrian Signal Timing
Once countdown pedestrian signals are installed, they should be adjusted to give pedestrians crossing Poydras a Lead Pedestrian Interval walk phase, prior to the vehicle left turns.

---

33 Since the drafting of this document, the City of New Orleans has repaved and replaced markings, extended “bullet noses” and made ADA improvements at this intersection.
**Left Turn Phasing on Poydras**
Left turns from Poydras to S. Peters should have a protected left turn phase (green arrow), and should not be permitted on a regular green light (solid green for through traffic, solid red for left turns).

**ADA Compliance**
Improvements to accessibility at each corner and in the neutral ground are needed; in particular, ramps, widths, slope, and surface, including detectable warnings should be improved.

*Figure 27: South Peters St. and Poydras St., Proposed (3D)*

*Source: Dana Brown & Associates*
S. Carrollton Avenue and Tulane Avenue (US 61)

Existing Concerns

North corner of S. Carrollton Ave. and Tulane Ave. (Google Streetview 2014)

**A1 – A2: Right Turn Conflicts**
Rights turns from Tulane Ave. onto S. Carrollton Ave. southbound, and turns from S. Carrollton Ave. to Tulane Ave. eastbound leave pedestrians vulnerable to vehicles yielding to oncoming vehicles.

**B: Right Turn Radius**
The intersection angle allows for high-speed right turns from S. Carrollton onto Tulane, especially as they bypass other vehicles stopped at the light. The right turn slip lane on the northern side of this intersection is sufficiently marked with a pedestrian signal. A dedicated traffic light in this turn lane limits movement from vehicles unless on green, providing adequate safety for pedestrians crossing the narrow walkway onto the pedestrian island.

**C: Sidewalk Width**
Narrow cut-through sidewalks in the Tulane neutral ground are not sufficient for the volume of people crossing this intersection. The cut-through sizes along Carrollton are sufficient in size.

**D: Transit Stops**
The far-side transit stop on Tulane Avenue serves both RTA and JeT buses. The location of this transit stop does not allow adequate space for the volume of pedestrians waiting for the buses. The location of the stop also forces buses to cross two lanes of traffic to merge from the stop back onto Tulane Ave as it becomes Airline Drive, including an exit-only lane leading to Interstate 10.

**E: Crosswalks**
Crosswalk markings at this intersection are faded and in need of replacement.
**Figure 28: S. Carrollton Ave and Tulane Ave (US 61), Existing**

![Diagram of S. Carrollton Ave and Tulane Ave (US 61)](image)

**Proposed Countermeasures**

**Marked Crosswalks and Signal Enhancements**
High visibility crosswalks should be applied throughout the intersection, and countdown pedestrian signals should serve each crossing. Crosswalks should be staggered to increase the lifespan and reduce maintenance costs.

**Curb Extensions**
The neutral ground "bullet noses" should be extended to permit widening of neutral ground cut-throughs. This would also narrow turning radii for the vehicle turns, slowing those turns down. A bulb-out on Tulane Ave would create a shorter crossing distance for pedestrians and a tighter turning radius for vehicles, resulting in slower turns. This bulb-out will make pedestrians more visible to turning vehicles.

**Transit Stop Relocation**
Review the feasibility of relocating transit stops to the far side of the intersections based on the operations of RTA and Jefferson Transit.
ADA Compliance
All curbs, sidewalks, and transit stops should be upgraded to comply with ADA, paying close attention to curb ramps, widths, surfaces (including detectable warnings), and slope.

Limit Left Turn Phasing
Permissive left turns pose a threat to pedestrians at this intersection. By limiting left turns to protected turns only, pedestrians will be able to cross the intersection more safely and conflicts with turning vehicles will be reduced.

Lane Reallocation (Road Diet)
A recent lane reallocation project on Broad Street has shown the potential for reducing six lane roads to four lane roads. S. Carrollton Avenue should be studied for a similar lane reduction project. Tulane Avenue is scheduled for improvements in striping, configuration, and other streetscape elements that should similarly reallocate road space and increase pedestrian safety.
S. Carrollton Avenue and Ulloa Street

![Intersection Image](image_url)

West corner of S. Carrollton Ave. and Ulloa St. (Google Streetview 2014)

**Existing Concerns**

**A: Turning Conflicts**
Vehicles consistently bunch up in the intersection waiting to turn. Drivers are often confused, as the riverside of the intersection is one way going west towards S. Carrollton, while the lakeside of Ulloa Street is two-way. Drivers focused on turning through bunched vehicles are less likely to pay attention to pedestrians crossing the street.

**B: ADA Improvements**
While the Carrollton Avenue neutral ground cut-throughs are wide enough to allow pedestrians to flow through the intersection, they are not ADA compliant and need ramps as well as slope adjustments. All corners should be brought into compliance as well.

**C: Crosswalks**
Crosswalk markings at this intersection are faded and in need of replacement.
**Proposed Countermeasures**

**Signal Phasing**
The signal phase timing for Ulloa Street traffic is thirty seconds. By changing the signal phasing to allow one side to proceed for fifteen to twenty seconds at a time, then the other side, the vehicle bunching in the intersection would be reduced.

**Restriping, Marked Crosswalks, and Signal Enhancements**
High visibility crosswalks should be applied throughout the intersection, and countdown pedestrian signals should serve each crossing.

**Curb Extensions (“Bulb-Outs”)**
Installing curb extensions along S. Carrollton Ave. would make pedestrians more visible to motorists and reduce crossing distance throughout the intersection.

**ADA Compliance**
All curbs, sidewalks, and transit stops should be upgraded to comply with ADA, paying close attention to curb ramps, widths, surfaces (including detectable warnings), and slope.
**Signage**
Regulatory signs, including YIELD, Pedestrians Ahead, and others should be considered for high volume intersections such as this one. The high volume of traffic from the three vehicular lanes in each direction, combined with the broad expanse of each street and its proximity to the interstate, obscure pedestrian activity in the area.

**Lane Reallocation (Road Diet)**
A recent lane reallocation project on Broad Street has shown the potential for reducing six lane roads to four lane roads. S. Carrollton Avenue should be studied for a similar lane reduction project.
Read Boulevard and Interstate 10/I-10 Service Roads

North and east corners of Read Blvd. and I-10 Service Road, north side of I-10 (Google Streetview 2014)

**Existing Concerns – North Side**

**A1-A2: Turning Conflicts**  
Large radii allow for vehicles to make turns at fast speeds on curvilinear approaches. Pedestrians have a long distance to cross and are not visible to approaching vehicles.

**B Signals**  
The lack of pedestrian signals at these intersections forces pedestrians to rely on visual cues when crossing; i.e., they cross when they do not see any oncoming vehicles. As the vehicles at these intersections are typically entering and exiting I-10 or the service road, they are traveling at high speeds and pose significant danger for pedestrians.

**C: Crosswalks and ADA Improvements**  
Crosswalk markings at this intersection are faded and in need of replacement. Sidewalks are narrow and ADA compliant curb ramps are not always present.

**D1-D2: Left Turn Conflicts and Crossing Time**  
Left-turning motorists from either direction of Read Blvd. have permissive green signals. Drivers making left turns are not looking out for pedestrians crossing at the Service Road intersections. Additionally, the length of the green signal to cross Read at the service road is less than ten seconds – only enough time for a fast walker to make it halfway through the intersection.
**Figure 30: Read Blvd. and I-10, North Side, Existing**

### Existing Concerns - South Side

**A1-A2: Turning Conflicts**
Large radii allow for vehicles to make turns at fast speeds on curvilinear approaches. Pedestrians have a long distance to cross and are not visible to approaching vehicles.

**B: Signals**
The lack of pedestrian signals at this intersection forces pedestrians to rely on visual cues when crossing; i.e., they cross when they do not see any oncoming vehicles. As the vehicles at this intersection are typically entering and exiting I-10 or the service road, they are traveling at high speeds and pose significant danger for pedestrians.

**C: Crosswalks and ADA Improvements**
Crosswalk markings at this intersection are faded and in need of replacement. Sidewalks are narrow and ADA compliant curb ramps are not always present.

**D1-D2: Left Turn Conflicts and Crossing Time**
Left-turning motorists from either direction of Read Blvd. have permissive green signals. Drivers making left turns are not looking out for pedestrians crossing at the Service Road intersections. Additionally, the length of the green signal to cross Read at the service road is less than ten seconds – only enough time for a fast walker to make it halfway through the intersection.
Figure 31: Read Blvd. and I-10, South Side, Existing

Proposed Countermeasures
These solutions also apply to both the North Side and South Side of the Interstate.

Refuge Islands
Create refuge islands in large areas of pavement, as seen on the diagram. These islands will reduce the turning radii and thus reduce vehicle speed. Pedestrians will be more visible to drivers and will be better protected from speeding vehicles. These refuge islands are marked in red on the diagram; currently these areas are part of the asphalt road surface.

Pedestrian Signals
Countdown pedestrian signals should be added to all intersections so that pedestrians do not have to rely on traffic flow to know when to cross. Push-button signals that lengthen the crossing time across Read should be added at the service road intersections to adjust crossing time when necessary. Passive detection systems that extend the signal time should also be used to aid pedestrians in crossing the width of Read Blvd.

Curb Extensions
The neutral ground “bullet noses” should be extended to permit widening of neutral ground cut-throughs. This would also narrow turning radii for the vehicle turns, slowing those turns down. Curb extensions in other areas can be used for beautification and stormwater management.
Marked Crosswalks
High visibility crosswalks should be applied throughout the intersection.

Lighting
The field audit took place during the day and nighttime lighting conditions were not assessed. However, Read Boulevard’s width and the underpass under I-10 could be made brighter and more visible with additional lighting.

Street Trees
The intersections near the interstate are not a pleasant walking environment, and pedestrians are vulnerable to drivers. Planting trees between the sidewalk and the street would shield pedestrians from drivers and make the walking environment more pleasant.

Lane Reallocation or Bus Only Lanes
A recent lane reduction project on Broad Street has shown the potential for reducing six lane roads to four lane roads. Read Boulevard is a six lane road and carries less traffic than Broad Street, and could potentially be reallocated in a way that would grant more roadway space to buses or pedestrians.
Holiday Drive and Behrman Place (LA 428)

Existing Concerns
A – Vehicles turning left onto Behrman Place from Holiday Drive are required to stop at a stop sign. Frequently, vehicles merely yield because they are traveling at a high rate of speed on Holiday Drive coming into this turn.

B – The Behrman Place access curve creates a problem with vehicles merging onto Behrman Place. Vehicles are unaware of pedestrians attempting to cross the road in this area. Pedestrians tend to cross here because of the desire line from retail development to apartments. There are no designated crosswalks.

C – A transit stop is located in this area. There are no crosswalks or sidewalks leading to the transit stop, which makes crossing travel lanes dangerous for pedestrians.

The speed limit in the area is 45 MPH which causes a greater risk to pedestrians trying to cross the roads.
There are no designated crosswalks for pedestrians at any intersection.
Figure 32: Holiday Dr. and Behrman Pl (LA 428), Existing

Proposed Countermeasures

**Lane Reallocations**
Relocate the Behrman Place access curve to a more northern location on Behrman Place. This will allow for the closure of the existing access curve to be used for pedestrians. Creating a merge lane will take Behrman Place from two lanes to one lane ahead of the merge, reducing southbound traffic speeds.

**Create high-profile pedestrian crossing**
Close off the U-turn south of Memorial Park Drive to vehicles, allowing a safe crossing for pedestrians. This pedestrian crossing should use a highly detectable crossing system, such as a HAWK or a rapid flashing beacon. Narrowing traffic lanes and narrowing the turning radius will slow down traffic merging onto Behrman Place. Overall, reducing unnecessary roadways in this area enables more convenient pedestrian access and creates green space that may be used to better manage stormwater.

**Curb Extensions and Sidewalks**
Extend the curb for the left turn onto Behrman Place from Holiday Drive. This will narrow the roadway from two lanes to one lane, slowing traffic and tightening the radii of the curve resulting in slower turns. Add sidewalks and crosswalks that guide pedestrians to the existing transit stop.
Canal Street and Bourbon Street/Carondelet Street

North corner of Canal St. at Bourbon St. (Google Streetview 2014)

Existing Concerns
A - Crosswalks are poorly marked at most intersections.

B - Pedestrian holding areas in the neutral ground create awkward situations between pedestrians and the street car line.

Bourbon Street has the heaviest pedestrian traffic along Canal Street. A case could be made for closing down Bourbon Street to any vehicular traffic, except for deliveries (8am to 3pm) and emergency vehicles.

There is no turn lane designation on Carondelet Street causing confusion among vehicles, which creates potential conflict with pedestrians crossing Canal Street.

Signage for no turns on red and yield to pedestrians are usually located in areas that are not highly visible to vehicles.
Figure 33: Canal St and Bourbon St./Carondelet St., Existing
**Proposed Countermeasures:**

**Street closure**
Blocking off Bourbon Street to all vehicles except for deliveries and emergency vehicles would mostly eliminate all pedestrians/vehicular conflicts.

**Pavement markings and crossing treatments**
Designating turning lanes on Carondelet Street could reduce confusion for pedestrians and drivers at the intersection of Canal Street. All crosswalks should be replaced with high-visibility crosswalks. Transitions between pedestrian areas and street car lines should be designated by a truncated dome made of similar existing sidewalk granite/brick materials. Planters or other structure, as shown in Figure 34, may be used to discourage pedestrians crossing midblock.

**Pedestrian signals**
All pedestrian signals should be replaced with countdown pedestrian signals. Signals should be placed at each intersection, as well as on neutral grounds so that they are clearly visible.

**Right turn on red**
All right turns on red should be eliminated to avoid conflicts with pedestrians.

*Figure 34: Planter Concept*

Planters could be used to discourage midblock crossings on Canal St, lakebound at Chartres St (Dana Brown & Associates Google Streetview)
Canal Street between Royal Street/St. Charles Avenue and Camp Street/Chartres Street

Existing Concerns

A – Crosswalk alignment causes confusion for pedestrians crossing Canal Street. Awkward geometry of Camp Street/Chartres Street causes crosswalks to off angles, making wayfinding difficult.

B – A U-turn in this location creates an awkward situation for pedestrians crossing Canal Street.

Crosswalks are poorly marked at most intersections.

Most pedestrian signals are not working properly.

Pedestrian holding areas in the neutral ground create awkward situations between pedestrians and the street car line.

Signage for no turns on red and yield to pedestrians are usually located in areas that are not high visible to vehicles.

*Figure 35: Canal St. and Royal Street/St. Charles Avenue and Camp Street/Chartres Street, Existing*
Proposed Countermeasures

Pedestrian Islands
Pedestrian islands in the neutral ground on the lakeside of Canal Street should be expanded to allow for a conflict free situation between vehicles U-turning. Transitions between pedestrian areas and street car lines should be designated by a truncated dome made of similar existing sidewalk granite/brick materials.

Pavement Markings and Crosswalks
Stop bar for vehicles in the U-turn only lane should be set back further. All crosswalks should be replaced with highly visible striping. Create a turn only lane on Camp Street onto Canal Street to limit confusion for motorists and reduce conflicts with pedestrians.

Signal Enhancements
All pedestrian signals should be replaced with countdown pedestrian signals. Signals should be placed at each intersection, as well as on neutral grounds so that they are clearly visible.

All right turns on red should be eliminated to avoid conflicts with pedestrians.
Canal Street and Peters Street

Existing Concerns

A – Signal timing crossing Canal Street along Peters Street.

B – Crosswalks are aligned to direct pedestrians into awkward spaces with utility poles in the neutral ground.

C – A U-turn in this location creates an awkward situation for pedestrians crossing Canal Street.

Crosswalks are poorly marked at most intersections and most pedestrian signals are not working properly. Pedestrian holding areas in the neutral ground create awkward situations between pedestrians and the street car line. Signage for no turns on red and yield to pedestrians are usually located in areas that are not high visible to vehicles.

*Figure 36: Canal St. and Peters St., Existing*
Proposed Countermeasures
Removing the turn lane from S. Peters Street onto Canal Street southbound would allow for a larger pedestrian space. This would eliminate the existing island for pedestrians, which doesn’t provide sufficient space. Vehicles would be allowed to turn only on a green signal, eliminating turns on red.

Restriping, Marked Crosswalks, and Signal Enhancements
Stop bar for vehicles in the U-turn only lane should be set back further.

All crosswalks should be replaced with highly visible striping. Realignment of the crosswalk from Magazine Street to the neutral ground on Canal Street will allow pedestrians to straighten their path.

Leading pedestrian intervals should replace all-red phases to prevent confusion and conflicts when pedestrians cross with vehicle phases. All pedestrian signals should be replaced with countdown timers. Signals should be placed at each intersection, as well as on neutral grounds so that they are clearly visible.

Pedestrian Islands
Pedestrian islands in the neutral ground on the uptown side of Canal Street should be expanded to allow for a conflict free situation between vehicles U-turning. Transitions between pedestrian areas and street car lines should be designated by a truncated dome made of similar sidewalk granite/brick materials.

The New Orleans Mobility and Parking Study also suggested strategies for safety and mobility improvements at this intersection. Figure 37 illustrates this document’s proposal for the intersection of Canal and Peters Streets, which feature more significant changes than those described above. These may be considered longer-term strategies that may require additional study of traffic impacts.
**Figure 37: Proposed Configuration, Canal and Peters Street**

*Source: New Orleans Mobility and Parking Study*
Improving pedestrian safety citywide

The engineering problems described at the emphasis areas are not unique to these intersections and corridors. To improve pedestrian safety systematically citywide, the City should consider applying the countermeasures, including but not limited to curb extensions, pedestrian signals, leading intervals, high-visibility crosswalks and sidewalks repairs throughout the city. Ongoing street reconstruction or rehabilitation projects funded by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Community Development Block Grants, state and federal grants and local funding sources are fitting opportunities for the City to apply these proven countermeasures on a sustained basis.

VII. Funding Implementation

The City should fund implementation of the engineering and policy recommendations made within Chapter VI using any of several funding sources available, including City of New Orleans operating funds, the City’s bond-funded capital program, and state and federal grants. Two DOTD grant programs in particular offer viable funding sources: the Local Road Safety Program and the Highway Safety Improvement Program.

Local Road Safety Program

Statewide, parish or municipal governments are eligible to fund local road safety improvements through the Local Road Safety Program (LRSP). The Local Technical Assistance Program administers the Local Road Safety Program in cooperation with the DOTD. State Roads, such as Tulane Avenue and S. Claiborne Avenue, are not eligible for this program.

Individual projects are eligible for up to $500,000 in LRSP funds. A 10% local match is required for construction, and no match is required for engineering and design services. An individual project may span multiple locations. For instance, a single project could install pedestrian countdown signals at intersections across the city.

Importantly, proposed projects that document a crash history are particularly competitive projects. The locally owned streets identified in Chapter 6 and in the appendix are therefore strong candidates for this program.

Completed applications are due each year by January 31\(^{st}\). The City of New Orleans should therefore plan accordingly to submit proposals annually by this deadline.

---

Highway Safety Improvement Program
The Louisiana Highway Safety Commission administers this federally-funded grant program, which supports improvements on both local and state roads that reduce the number of traffic fatalities and severe injuries, including pedestrian fatalities and severe injuries. The Commission maintains a Strategic Highway Safety Plan, which includes projects submitted by local jurisdictions on a competitive basis.

A stage 0 feasibility study is a prerequisite of inclusion of projects in the Strategic Highway Safety Plan, which the RPC may complete on the City's behalf. The projects must target adopted emphasis areas. This document serves to designate the locations highlighted in Chapter VI and in Table 6: Top Twenty Ranking Intersections as adopted emphasis areas.

No match is required for engineering and construction services, and DOTD provides on-retainer traffic study and design services for these projects.

VIII. Conclusion
The City of New Orleans witnessed an increasing pedestrian crash rate from 2008-2012 – particularly crashes resulting in moderate and possible injuries. Many crashes resulting in severe injuries and fatalities are clustered at specific intersections and corridors. By adopting a systemic approach to improving pedestrian safety, using the evidence-based engineering countermeasures described in this Pedestrian Safety Action Plan, the City of New Orleans will slow this trend, and help achieve the city's goal to reduce pedestrian fatalities by 50% by 2030, to an average of four per year, compared to the 2011 and 2012 rate of 8 per year. This approach will require close coordination with the DOTD, RPC and NOPD. Furthermore, the City should pursue non-engineering strategies, including education and traffic enforcement, to support the engineering safety improvements.
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